

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/941,395 | 08/28/2001 | Leo A. Trevino | ALLIA.62CP2C2 | 6627 |
| JOHN WURST, ESQ., ALLIANCE PHARMACEUTICAL CORP. 6175 LUSK BOULEVARD SAN DIEGO, CA 92121 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HARTLEY, MICHAEL G | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1616 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004 | 10 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/941,395 TREVINO ET AL. Notice of Abandonment Examiner **Art Unit** Michael G. Hartley 1616 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 27 August 2003. (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ____ __), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on __ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$____ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$____ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review. of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Michael G. Hartley

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1616

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar | 09/941,395 | TREVINO ET AL. | | | |
| Lammer-indated interview Summar | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Michael G. Hartley | 1616 | | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | · | | | |
| (1) <u>Michael G. Hartley</u> . | (3) | (3) | | | |
| (2) <u>John Wurst (Appl. repr.)</u> . | (4) | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2004</u> | Time: | • | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican | Applicant's representative) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Confirmed abandonment. Applicant repr. stated that although nothing to show that the response was received timely at | ough a response was mailed, it came | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su | on. The examiner will provide a varate record of the substance of | written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview | | | |
| , | , | • | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | · | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap | plicant/Applicant's Representativ | re Signature – if appropriate) | | | |